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ABSTRACT
A system to manage human interaction in immersive environments was designed and implemented. The interaction is defined by an interval script which describes the relationships between the time intervals which command actuators or gather information from sensors. With this formalism, reactive, linear, and tree-like interaction can be equally described, as well as less regular story and interaction patterns. Control of actuators and sensors is accomplished using PWF-restriction, a calculus which propagates the sensed information through the interval script, determining which intervals are or should be happening at each moment. The prototype was used in an immersive, story-based interactive environment called SingSong, where a user or a performer tries to conduct four computer character singers, in spite of the hostility of one of them.
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INTRODUCTION
The objective of this research is the design and implementation of an interaction manager system which is able to handle complex patterns of interaction evolving through time. The interaction manager should be able to track multiple concurrent stories, turned on or off according to the development of the story and the users' actions. For example, the user or users can be interacting with a virtual character of the story, while the characters are also engaged in interaction among themselves.

We are particularly interested in developing a paradigm for scripting story-based interactive systems which can handle progression through time. That is, we want the behavior of the characters and the development of the story to depend on the past interaction. This paper describes a script paradigm based on Allen's time intervals ([1]) which can be employed by an interaction manager by using the PWF calculus of Pinhanez and Bobick ([6]).

We start by detailing some of the fundamental theoretical concepts behind the proposed script paradigm and its realization in the interaction manager. We then describe some of the issues involved in the implementation of such interaction manager, and our experience using the manager in a real system.

MOTIVATIONS
A long term objective of this research is to create immersive, interactive environments which capture the intensity and dramaticity of good stories. These environments can be either experienced directly by the user, as in the interactive cinema concept proposed by Tosa and Nakatsu ([8]); or employed in a computer theater performance, as described by Pinhanez ([5]). With only a few exceptions (for example, [3]), immersive interactive environments have been exploratory, i.e., the user's basic objective is to navigate through an artificial world, discovering its interesting features and/or meeting with virtual creatures. We believe that immersive systems can be significantly enriched by incorporating dramatic structure from stories.

To enable the design of such environments, many technological developments are necessary: improvement of sensing technology, human action understanding, wireless interfaces, etc. But it is also fundamental to develop paradigms and tools for scripting systems and stories able to handle a variety of interaction situations. Most story-based environments till now have relied on scripts which are either reactive or shaped in a tree-like structure. In reactive systems, the story (if it exists at all) unfolds as a result of the firing of behaviors as a response to the user's actions (for example, [4, 7]). In tree-like scripts, the user typically chooses between different paths in the story through some selective action (for example, [3]).

Those scripting methods are not good for describing
and managing the complex interactivity we are planning for our future immersive environments. It is hard to express progression of time in creatures controlled by reactive systems, and handling parallel events in a tree-like, multiple choice script is cumbersome. In the following section, we propose a scripting paradigm which has the potential to handle multi-pattern interaction in story and scenario-based interactive environments.

INTERVAL SCRIPTS

An interval script is a low level interactive script paradigm based on explicit declaration of the relationships between the time intervals corresponding to actions and to sensor activities. In an interval script, the designer of the interactive system declares the time intervals corresponding to the different actions and events and the time relationship between some of those pairs of intervals, i.e., whether two intervals happen in sequence, overlap, or are mutually exclusive. No explicit time references are needed, for either duration, start, or finish of an interval. Examples of interval scripts are provided later in this paper.

Allen’s Interval Algebra

To model the time relationships between two intervals we employ the interval algebra proposed by Allen [1]. The interval algebra is based on the 13 possible primitive relationships between two intervals which are summarized in fig. 1. In any actual situation, two intervals relate to each other exactly as described by one of the possible primitive time relationships.

Given two situations in the real world, their possible time relationship can always be described by a disjunction of the primitive time relationships. For instance, we can say that the action of driving a car either STARTS or FINISHES or happens DURING or is EQUAL to the interval when the car engine is turned on. That is, the time relationship between driving and having a car engine on can be described by the disjunction of \{START, DURING, FINISH, EQUAL\}. Of course, in a real occurrence of a driving action, only one of the relationships actually happens.

Most of the interest in Allen’s representation for time intervals comes from a mechanism by which the time relationships between the pairs of intervals can be propagated through the collection of all intervals. For instance, if interval A is BEFORE B, and B is BEFORE C, Allen’s representation enables the inference that A is BEFORE C. In fact, [1] provides an algorithm, later revised by [9], which propagates the time relations through a collection of intervals, determining the most constrained disjunction of relationships for each pair of intervals which satisfies the given relationships and is consistent in time.

There are many reasons to use Allen’s algebra to describe relationships between intervals. First, no explicit mention of the interval duration or specification of relations between the interval’s extremities is required.

Second, the existence of a time constraint propagation algorithm allows the designer to declare only the relevant relations, leading to a cleaner script. Allen’s algorithm is able to process the definitions and to generate a constrained version which defines only the scripts which satisfy those relations and are consistent in time.

Third, the notion of disjunction of interval relationships can be used to declare multiple paths and interactions in an story. As we mentioned before, any instance of an actual interaction determines exactly one relationship for each pair of intervals. Thus, we can see the interval script as the declaration of a graph structure where each node is an interval, and whose links are constrained by the structure of time. An interval script describes a space of stories and interactions.

Fourth, it is possible to determine whether an interval is or should be happening by properly propagating occurrence information from one interval to the others as described in the next section. In other words, it is possible to construct an interaction manager which takes relationships between intervals as a description of the interaction to occur and which by getting input from sensing routines can determine which parts of the script are occurring, which are past, and which are going to happen in the future.

RUN-TIME MANAGEMENT OF INTERVAL SCRIPTS

Allen’s interval algebra describes a way by which the relationships between intervals can be propagated by a transitive rule. To use the relations between intervals in a system to manage real-time interaction we employ the PNF calculus developed by Pnihan and Bobick [6] which defines a method for propagating occurrence information through a network of intervals.

Although the PNF calculus makes the essential link between the concept of interval and current time, all the PNF-related concepts are completely transparent for the designer of an interactive application: an interval script talks about the activity of actuators and sensors in the real world, and how they interact locally. However, to understand how it is possible to represent and detect the
current situation within a script given the input of some sensors and the information about the past interaction, we describe briefly the principal concepts of the PNF calculus in the following paragraphs.

**PNF Calculus**

The PNF calculus is based on the assignment of a primitive state value, either past (PAST), now (NOW), or future (FUT), to each interval at each instant of time. These values correspond to the intuitive notion of interval occurrence relatively to a given moment of time. To match the structure of the interval relationships (which uses disjunctions of primitive relationships), the current state of each interval can be characterized by a disjunction of possible primitive states, a PNF-state. There are 7 possible PNF-states: PAST, NOW, FUT, PN, PF, NF, or PNF.

For instance, PN (PAST or NOW) describes the situation where it is known that the interval started some time in the past but it is unknown if the interval has already finished. PNF (PAST or NOW or FUT) stands for the situation where no information about the interval is presently known.

The PNF-restriction algorithm developed by Pinkiewicz and Bobick [6] enables the propagation of known PNF-states of some intervals through a network of intervals. Typically in the cases described in this paper the current PNF-state of some intervals are obtained by sensor devices. By using the PNF-restriction algorithm, the PNF-state of intervals related to actuators can be determined. Actuators in the NOW state are activated, and those which are in the PAST state are disabled.

Figure 2 shows some simple examples of propagation of values considering the relationship between two intervals A and B. In the first case, A MEET B: if B is NOW then it is clear that A is in the past (considering that intervals are supposed not to contain the endpoint). However, if it is known that A is PAST, then we can only conclude that B is PAST or NOW that is, PN. In the second case, if A BEFORE B, the information that A is PAST is virtually useless, since B may have already happened (PAST) or be happening (NOW) or be in the future (FUT) characterizing a PNF state.

In [6], Pinkiewicz and Bobick also discuss the idea of time expanding a PNF-state. Basically, given the PNF-state of an interval, the time expansion of the interval is the PNF-state corresponding to possible states of that interval in the next instant of time. If an interval is NOW, in the next instant it may be NOW or PAST, or PN; if it is PAST, it remains in PAST; if it is FUT, it goes to NF. A comprehensive description of the PNF-restriction algorithm can be found in [6], together with some theorems about its computational complexity and completeness.

**Connecting to the Real World**

According to our proposal of interval script, the interaction of a system is described by intervals of time and their relationships. Some intervals are connected to sensors and some are connected to actuators. Connectors with real world events are generally referred as externals. In the interval script paradigm, the designer has two basic tasks: to define the actual sensing and actuating routines corresponding to different externals and to determine the relationships between the intervals defined by those externals.

An external is the concept abstracting the internal mechanisms required to run the different sensors and actuators. In fact, an external seamlessly encapsulates the connections between a designer’s routine and the PNF structure used to manage the interaction. Quite commonly more than one interval is associated to one external, as shown later.

Figure 3 shows the basic structure of the interaction manager. The script defines the relationships between intervals, which are stored in a table, and used by the interaction manager when running the PNF algorithm. The interaction manager considers the PNF-state of all intervals at time $t-1$ to compute the PNF-states at time $t$. These values are converted — as discussed later — and used to call the designer’s sensing and actuating routines. The outputs of those routines are mapped back into PNF-states of appropriate intervals, completing the cycle.

**Sensors**

In interactive environments, sensors can play the roles of chooser, locator, valuator, etc. (see [2]). We have
Figure 4: Intervals associated with a sensor, in two different configurations.

analyzed and implemented only the binary case of a chooser sensor, that is, a sensor which detects whether something is happening or not. However, all sensors have at least two time intervals naturally associated to them: an activity interval which determines when the sensor is active, and a event interval which corresponds to an occurrence of the sensor.

In the case of binary-choosing sensors, the designer of the interactive system has to provide a routine which receives as input a switching command (ON, OFF, RESET) and returns one of the following 3 values: HAPPENING, NOT-HAPPENING, or UNKNOWN. During the time the activation interval is or may be happening (NOW, PN, NF), the interaction manager sends an ON command to the designer’s routine, and OFF otherwise.

The output of the sensing routine affects the state of the event interval as follows:

HAPPENING: the event interval is set to the NOW state by the interaction manager;

NOT-HAPPENING: the event interval is set to OFF;

UNKNOWN: the event interval is set to PN.

Figure 5 shows the intervals associated with an actuator. These characteristics of actuators are reflected in our system by associating two intervals to actuators: a desired interval and an actual interval. Figure 5 shows the relationship between the intervals and the actuator routine provided by the designer. When the desired interval is happening (i.e., has value NOW), the interaction manager sends ON messages to the designer’s routine. When, and if the actuator goes on, the returning DOING message moves the actual interval into the state NOW.

When the desired interval goes to PAST, the interaction manager starts sending an OFF message to the actuator routine. However, the end of the actual interval is decided by the routine itself: it may happen before, at the same, or some time after the routine receives the OFF message, depending on the properties of the device being controlled.

Another issue is what to do when the PNF-state of the desired interval is PN, NF, FF, or PNF: should an ON or an OFF message be sent to the designer’s routine? Our current solution is to make the designer define the desired interval to be RELAXED or ANXIOUS. In the former case, only pure NOW PNF-states send an ON message; in the later, any state containing NOW — except PNF — starts the actuator’s routine.

Timers

Although the general objective of this proposal is to write a script without explicit time references, sometimes it is necessary to constrain the duration of an action or a sensing activity. In our conceptualization, a timer is a special case of an actuator, thus defining desired and actual intervals. The desired interval is used to turn the timer on and off; the actual interval — especially its end — can be used to trigger another actions as the timer expires.

Running Cycle

Before the interaction manager can actually run the interaction described by the interval script, Allen’s algorithm must be executed to assure that the relationships between every two intervals is as restricted as possible.

Just before the interaction starts, the interaction manager sets every interval state to PNF, except for the special interval start, which is assigned the value NOW. During run-time, the following basic cycle is repeated till the special end interval becomes NOW.

1. at the beginning of each cycle, all designer’s routines connected to externals are called, considering the PNFS-state of each interval to decide which of the switching commands (ON, OFF, RESET) is passed to the routine.
2. the outputs of the designer’s routines, translated into PNF-states are attributed to the appropriate interval connected to each external;

3. intervals which are not connected to externals (if they exist) have the values in the previous iteration time-expanded.

4. the PNF-restriction algorithm is applied, propagating the current values of some intervals through the whole network;

5. if the end interval is not NOW, the cycle is repeated.

According to this cycle, if an interval becomes PAST, it remains with this value until the end of the run. This information is used in the upcoming cycles, constraining the values of other intervals and making the system progress through the story defined by the interval script.

Implementation

Allen’s interval algebra, the PNF-restriction algorithm, and the “external” procedures have been implemented in C++. In the current version of the interaction manager, the designer’s routines are also written in C++, including the declaration of externals and their relationships. The interval script is simply a C++ file which uses classes corresponding to the different externals.

Since the computational complexity of the PNF-restriction algorithm is worst-case quadratic in the number of intervals — and linear in memory usage — ([6]), the interaction manager can run the PNF-restriction algorithm at intervals compatible with sensor accuracy. Typically, we have been running a new cycle of the manager at video rates, that is, 30 times a second.

AN EXPERIMENT: SingSong

The methodology and algorithms described in this paper were tested in a story-based, interactive system named SingSong. SingSong was designed to be enjoyed both as an user experience and as a computer theater performance. In the later case, as described by Pimhaeze in [5], our system provides computer-generated partners to the human performer which are not only reactive, but are also able to follow the script.

The transition between the performance and the user mode is seamless, enabling the user to experience the story as lived by the performer. Typically, a performer is able to produce a more vivid and interesting result for those observing from outside the system because she can clearly react to the situations, and expressively displays her emotions.

Figure 6 shows the basic structure of SingSong: a big video screen shows four computer graphics animated characters which can “sing” musical notes (as produced by the synthesizer). A camera watches the user or performer, determining the position of her hands, head, and feet. Those positions are recovered by the software pfinder developed at the MIT Media Laboratory ([10]).

All the interaction is nonverbal: the user or performer gestures and the CG-characters sing notes and move. There is a CG-object — a pitching fork — which the user employs during one of the scenes. Sounds of applause can also be generated by the system. SingSong is an environment which immerses the user or performer in a simple story which unfolds as the interaction proceeds:

Singers of a chorus (the CG-creatures) are animately talking to each other. The conductor enters, and commands them to stop by raising her hands. One of the singers — #1 — keeps talking, till the conductor asks it to stop again. Singer#1 stops, but complains (by expanding and grudging sounds). The pitching fork appears and the conductor starts to tune the chorus: she points to a singer, and “hits” the pitching fork by moving her arm down. Any singer can be tuned at any time. However, singer#1 does not get tuned: it keeps giving back the conductor a wrong note till the conductor kneels down and pleads for its cooperation. After all the singers are tuned, a song is performed. The conductor controls only the tempo: the notes are played as she moves her arms up. When the song is finished, applause is heard, and when the conductor bows back, the singers bow together with her. Just after that, singer#1 provokes the conductor again, and the singers go back to talking to each other.

Writing An Interval Script for SingSong

SingSong portrays a very simple, quasi-linear story. The choice of a story with some linearity was intentional: interval scripts are naturally fit to describe reactive environments, and we wanted to test the limits of the concept in a very constrained interactive story. The following paragraphs show some examples of how semi-linear and parallel structures can be described by the interval script approach.

Commanding Multiple Characters

In the first scene of SingSong, singer#1 has a different role than the other singers: it does not obey the conductor promptly, and after being commanded to stop, it complains. After the singers stop chatting, they start to stare to the conductor following him around the space.